PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	29	MAY	2006
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A - Use who are a goodle file reference						
Applicant's or agent's file reference PA136826/PCT FOR FURTHER ACT			See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/IB2005/000542	International filing date (da 03.03.2005	iy/month/year)	Priority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 04.03.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n INV. A61B6/00 A61B6/06	ational classification and IPC					
Applicant LODOX SYSTEMS (PROPRIETAR	Y) LIMITED et al.					
Authority under Article 35 and tra	nsmitted to the applicant a	according to Article 50	International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied l	oy ANNEXES, comprising	:				
a. 🗌 sent to the applicant and t	to the International Bureau	u) a total of sheets, as	s follows:			
and/or sheets contain Administrative Instruc	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in celectronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
This report contains indications in the second	relating to the following ite	ms:				
Box No. I Basis of the re □ Box No. II Priority	port					
Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of		·				
Box No. IV Lack of utility of invertible. Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			v, inventive step or industrial ment			
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			۳.,			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report			
22.12.2005		26.05.2006				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized officer	Sariatinas Palontany.			
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2		Pohjamo, T	· 23860 ⁵⁰			
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +31 70	340-			
Fax: +31 /0 340 - 3016		. 0.001.01.01.101.101.10				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000542

	Box No. I Basis of the repo	ort			
1.	With regard to the language, filed, unless otherwise indicate	rith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ This report is based on tra which is the language of a	anslations from the original language into the following language , a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
	☐ publication of the inter	nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) national application (under Rule 12.4) ry examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	have been furnished to the re-	of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ceiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this are not annexed to this report):			
	Description, Pages				
	1-16	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-16	as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	 ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/I ☐ the sequence listing (igs			
4.	had not been made, since the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2 the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/ the sequence listing (figs			
	+ TE item 4 applies	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000542

	Вох	No. IV	Lack of unity of inve	ntion			
1.		 In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has: □ restricted the claims. □ paid additional fees. □ paid additional fees under protest. □ neither restricted nor paid additional fees. 					
		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.					
3.	This	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					
		□ complied with.				• •	
	□ not complied with for the following reasons:						
	see separate sheet						
4.	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						
	□ all parts.						
	☐ the parts relating to claims Nos						
	Bo	x No. V	Reasoned statemer y; citations and expla	nt und	er Article 35 ns supportin	(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial g such statement	
1. Statement							
		velty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3, 4, 6-10, 11-16 1, 2, 5	
In		nventive step (IS)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	3, 4, 6-10, 11-16 1, 2, 5	
	Inc	dustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16	
2	. Ci	tations ar	nd explanations (Rule 7	70.7):			

see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 03/055393 A (UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS MEDICAL CENTER; KARELLAS, ANDREW; SURYANAR) 10 July 2003 (2003-07-10)
 - D2: US 2002/196899 A1 (KARELLAS ANDREW) 26 December 2002 (2002-12-26)
 - D3: US 2001/005409 A1 (GOHNO MAKOTO ET AL) 28 June 2001 (2001-06-28)
- 2 This Authority considers that there are 2 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:
 - I: Claims 1-10 directed to an imaging apparatus and to a method of operating it
 - II: Claims 11-16 directed to a collimator

The reasons for which the inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, as required by Rule 13.1 PCT, are as follows:

- The prior art has been identified as document D1 and discloses an imaging device according claim 1 (see detailed objection below under Item V).
 - It follows that the following technical features of claims 3-10, and 11-16 make a contribution over the prior art and can be considered as special technical features within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT:
 - I: combining pixels according to collimator setting
 - II: shutter elements, drive, guide mechanism of the collimator
- The problem solved by these special technical features can therefore be construed as:
 - I: how to enhance contrast resolution of the image
 - II: how to control the collimator opening
- 5 In conclusion, the groups of claims are not linked by common or corresponding

special technical features and define 2 different inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept.

The application, hence does not meet the requirements of unity of invention as defined in Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

Re Item V.

1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

1.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An imaging apparatus comprising: a radiation source (12) for generating an imaging beam; a detector (26) responsive to the imaging beam to generate image signals and comprising an array of pixels (p. 3 lines 14-20) arranged in rows and columns, each pixel being responsive to incident radiation to generate an output signal; a drive (implicit from p. 8 lines 11-21) arranged to move the radiation source and the detector relative to a subject in a scanning direction; an adjustable collimator (p. 5 lines 28-30) arranged to vary the width of the imaging beam in the scanning direction; and a control system (25) responsive to adjustment of the collimator to combine output signals of groups of two or more pixels in the detector, thereby to optimize a selected characteristic of the image signal (p. 4 lines 1-8; p. 8 lines 4-11).

2 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 5

Dependent claims 2 and 5 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3, 4

The combination of the features of dependent claims 3 and 4 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: Defining a super pixel comprising an array of fundamental pixels according to the collimator setting (claim 3) is not known from the cited documents, and would

therefore not be obvious for a skilled person. The same applies to claim 4, as it is dependent on claim 3.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 6

4.1 Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of operating imaging apparatus of the kind having a radiation source and an associated detector which are moveable relative to a subject, the method comprising: generating an imaging beam (p. 8 lines 8, 9, 22-24) from the radiation source; moving the radiation source and the detector relative to a subject in a scanning direction (implicit from p. 8 lines 11-21) to generate output signals from each of a plurality of pixels of the detector; adjusting a collimator (p. 8 lines 19-21) to vary the width of the imaging beam in the scanning direction

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 6 differs in that the method further discloses:

detecting the setting of the collimator; and combining the output signals of groups of two or more pixels according to the setting of the collimator, thereby to optimize a selected characteristic of the image signals.

- 4.1.1 The subject-matter of claim 6 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

 "how to optimize the choice of contrast resolution"
- 4.1.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 6 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

None of the cited documents disclose a method for choosing contrast resolution, where after determining the collimator setting, a proper pixel binning

method would be chosen, in order to achieve a wanted contrast resolution.

4.1.3 Claims 7-10 are dependent on claim 6 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 11

5.1 Document D3, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A collimator (6) for adjusting the effective width of an imaging beam generated by a radiation source, the collimator comprising: first and second shutter elements (60, 61) arranged side by side to define a slit through which radiation emitted by the source can pass; a drive (7a) arranged to move the shutter elements in a direction parallel to the slit; and a guide mechanism (63, 64).

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 6 differs in that the method further discloses:

The guide mechanism comprising first and second tapered surfaces arranged to cooperate with respective tapered surfaces on the first and second shutter elements, so that operation of the drive varies the width of the slit.

- 5.1.1 The subject-matter of claim 11 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)
 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:
 "how to construct a slit width adjustment system ensuring parallel slit and a constant center line, where the system is easy to manufacture"
- 5.1.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 11 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

None of the cited documents disclose a slit width adjusting system where the slit

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000542

width is regulated by tapered elements.

5.1.3 Claims 12-16 are dependent on claim 11 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.